**Not competitive**

**Bloom**

**Knowledge Representation and Discovery in Philosophy**

The project both broadly conceived and loosely described, characteristics that make it hard to evaluate in any significant detail. For example, the PI begins by proposing an evaluation of available technologies and development of appropriate visualizations but this reviewer was unable to understand exactly what the technologies and visualizations were supposed to accomplish. The philosophical issues were also presented in a somewhat (for this reviewer) jargonistic language and in broad strokes that provided guidance as to what the final outcome (or even major purpose) of this project might be. Thus, even for a very preliminary project the lack of specificity was highly problematic.

Two of the project team members have experience in fields related to computational systems; the PI has an undergraduate minor in philosophy.

**Overview**

Intellectual significance: Unclear and unsubstantiated

Impact on research and technology: This project will not have a significant impact either on philosophical research nor on digital technology as it may be used in the humanities.

Innovation: This project was not particularly innovative either in substance or technology.

Proposal development: The proposal was written in generalities and in a language that made it difficult to firmly grasp.

Feasibility: Unable to judge.

Qualifications: The project team does not have the qualifications for significant impact on philosophy or the humanities.